			UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/784,949	02/25/2004	Xavier Blin	05725.1262-00	8436
	7590 07/05/2007 FNDERSON FARABON	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/05/2007	PAPER

3

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applicátion No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/784,949	BLIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	S DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. by be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) □ 1	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)区 Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rection is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ients have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Ap	plication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) 🗌 Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	·····				

.

### DETAILED ACTION

#### **Election/Restrictions**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. A composition comprising a liquid fatty phase and polymer particles dispersed in the fatty phase (Claims 1-27, 31-44, 51-64).
- II. A composition comprising a liquid fatty phase and polymer particles dispersed in the fatty phase, where the particles are surface stabilized. (Claims 28-30).
- III. A composition comprising a liquid fatty phase and polymer particles dispersed in the fatty phase, where the composition comprises a gelling agent (claims 45-50).

The species are independent or distinct because a composition comprising surface stabilized polymer is structurally and functionally different from that without a stabilized particle. Similarly, the inclusion of a gelling agent in the composition renders the composition to form a gel as opposed to a composition without a gelling agent and hence different. In each of the above species and sub-species, a search for one species or sub-species does not necessarily encompass a search for the other species and sub-species. Accoridnely, the requirement for election of species is proper.

Further, upon electing a single species from the above, applicants are required to elect single sub-species from the list of polymer that constitutes the polymer particles (The different types of polymers listed in claim 23).

**Polyurethanes** 

**Polyurethane-acrylics**,

Polyureas,

Polyureas-polyurethanes,

Polyester-polyurethanes,

Polyether-polyurethanes,

Polyesters,

Polyester amides,

Fatty-chain polyesters,

Alkyds;

**Acrylic polymers** 

Vinyl polymers

Acrylic-vinyl copolymers

Acrylic-silicone copolymers;

**Polyacrylamides;** 

## Silicone polymers and

Fluoro polymers.

Each of the polymers listed in claim 23 is different from one another because the polymers have different chemical structure and hence different property.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 59, 60, 63 and 64 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM -6.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 1615 July 24, 2006

LAKSHMI S. CHANNAVAJJALA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6